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ABSTRACT
The paper introduces and evaluates a technique, referred to as runahead, that prefetches instructions and data into the L1 caches on cache misses. The results of the experiments reported in the paper show that the CPI of a simple in-order pipeline could be reduced by 30%. The principle advantage of runahead over many other prefetching strategies, is twofold: 1) it prefetches both instructions and date; and 2) it is able to create non-sequential data prefetches.
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1. INTRODUCTION
The work presented in the paper arose from a conversation that Jim Dundas and I had while he was a graduate student at The University of Michigan in the mid-1990’s. We were brainstorming ideas that would improve the performance of a simple in-order pipeline with a minimum of extra logic. The driving factor was that we had been designing and building prototype Gallium Arsenide processors, which suffered from reduced device density compared to silicon [8, 1]. This led us to consider a number of unorthodox architectural ideas, including the topic of this paper: runahead pre-processing. The basic idea behind runahead is to allow a simple, yet very fast, processor pipeline to prefetch and pre-process instructions during cache miss cycles, instead of stalling. The pre-processed instructions are used to generate highly accurate data prefetches. Runahead allowed us to achieve a form of aggressive speculation with a simple in-order pipeline. Important from our point of view, the principal hardware cost is modest, because we make use of the execution pipeline when it would otherwise be idle. The only additional cost is a means of checkpointing the sequential state of the register file and memory hierarchy while instructions are pre-processed. This can be achieved with just a backup register for every register in the register file (simpler than a duplicate register file), because we discard all results that are computed during runahead episodes after the cache miss has been serviced.

2. BACKGROUND
In the early 1990’s our group had been experimenting with Gallium Arsenide (GaAs) as an alternative to silicon. GaAs had the promise of improved performance through increased clock speed because it has a much higher electron mobility than silicon. It also had the promise of lower power—our prototypes ran with a supply voltages of 1-2V when the prevailing silicon supply voltages were 5V (or later in the decade, 3.3V). The drawback with GaAs was that the densities were an order of magnitude less than silicon. This still allowed us to build single chip microprocessors, and, as noted above, we built several MIPS-like cores in the mid-1990’s, but those cores had to be relatively simple. This limited the range of architectural alternatives that we could explore to take advantage of this faster technology.

We experimented with a number of ideas that didn’t affect gate count too much. We explored much deeper pipelines [7] than used at that time, as well as pipelined cache organizations [6]. The runahead idea also arose from exploring ways to improve performance without substantially increasing gate count. We viewed it as an inexpensive way to retrieve some of the architectural benefits that we had to give up because of logic density limitations. Our early experiments were reported in [9]. Subsequently Jim wrote his Ph.D. thesis on the subject and explored many of the alternatives to the straightforward approach presented in the paper. In particular, he evaluated the idea of removing last level caches and just relying on runahead to maintain performance. The results were mixed and highly dependent on L1 size and benchmarks. He also showed that runahead works well for streaming benchmarks, as might be expected. In the case of STREAM, CPI was reduced by almost 80%. He fur-
ther examined simpler checkpointing schemes and proposed the idea of a co-processor to perform runahead.

Subsequent work on runahead took a different turn. Rather than viewing it as an inexpensive way to obtain architecture benefits in density constrained designs, runahead was examined in the context of more complex out-of-order machines. Several efforts took this direction. Examples are the work of Mutlu and Patt and their collaborators [5], and the work of Chaudhry and his colleagues at Sun on the ROCK processor [2]. The Sun design used threads to lookahead, coining the term “scout” thread, to describe their lookahead mechanism. The related work section in this paper contains a good overview of related work, if the reader wants to expire more recent developments.
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